

Planning Process Group Artifacts

11.4 Perform Quantitative Risk Analysis

11.4.3 Quantitative Risk Analysis Modeling Technique (Tools)

PMGT 690, ERAU, Prof. Sherman

By: Matthew Holtan

11.4.3 Quantitative Risk Analysis Modeling Technique (Tools):

This artifact was taken from a homework assignment from PMGT 613; the Advance Energy case study. The problems addressed used the PERT method, a tool and technique of the quantitative risk analysis process.

6.5 Deliverable: Advantage Tech Center Migration Case

MATTHEW HOLTAN; ERAU, PMGT 613, DR. KAHN

6.5 - Deliverable: Advantage Energy Technology Data Center Migration Case Study

1.

Expected Proj Duration = 69 Days

T(s) = 68 Days

Probability of completing before 68 days?

$Z = \frac{T_s - T_e}{\sqrt{\sum [(Pessimistic - Optimistic)^2 / 6]}}$ **of the critical path**

$= \frac{68 - 69}{\sqrt{.03 + 1.78 + 1.78 + .44 + .11 + 1.78 + .03 + .44 + .11 + .03 + .11}}$

$= -1 / 2.58 = -.388$

Z values and Prob Table (A 7.2) pg. 242 = approximately .35 or 35%

2.

Calculate what the expected project duration would have to be to ensure a 93% chance of completion within 68 days.

Using the table from A.72:

Probability = .93

Z value of approximately + 1.5

$T_s = 69.67$ (QM software table)

$T_e = (Z * 2.58) - T_s = 65.8$ days

3.

$T_s = 149$ days

Var = 7.69

** What is the probability of reducing the project by two days?

$Z = (T_s - T_e) / \sqrt{\text{sum of the variance along the critical path}}$

(QM Excell Chart's variance outputs)

$-2 / \sqrt{.03 + .11 + .44 + .44 + .44 + .11 + .44 + .44 + .44 + .44 + 2.78 + .44 + .44 + .11 + .11 + .44}$

$= -2 / 2.77$

$= -.722$; referring to Table A7.2: prob = .25 or 25%

4.

Similar to Q2, however due to staff rearrangements, they have asked Tom to calculate the expected project duration would have to be to ensure a 98% chance of completions within 160 days.

Prob = .98

$Z = +2.1$ (table A7.2)

$T_s = 149$

Sum of Var of the critical path = 2.77

$T_e = (Z * 2.77) - T_s = \mathbf{143.18 \text{ days}}$